US008743076B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Ludwig

(10) **Patent No.: US 8,743,076 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Jun. 3, 2014**

(54) **SENSOR ARRAY TOUCHSCREEN RECOGNIZING FINGER FLICK GESTURE FROM SPATIAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION PROFILES**

(71) Applicant: **Lester F. Ludwig**, San Antonio, TX (US)

(72) Inventor: **Lester F. Ludwig**, San Antonio, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **Lester F. Ludwig**, San Antonio, TX (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/160,511**

(22) Filed: **Jan. 21, 2014**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Continuation of application No. 11/761,978, filed on Jun. 12, 2007, which is a continuation of application No. 09/812,400, filed on Mar. 19, 2001, now Pat. No. 7,786,370, which is a division of application No. 09/313,533, filed on May 15, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,610,917.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/085,713, filed on May 15, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 3/041 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **345/173**; 178/18.01

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC 345/173–179; 178/18.01–18.09
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,748,676 A 5/1988 Miyagawa
4,899,137 A 2/1990 Behrens et al.
4,988,981 A 1/1991 Zimmerman

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0 574 213 A1 12/1993

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dulberg, M. S., et al. An Imprecise Mouse Gesture for the Fast Activation of Controls, IOS Press, Aug. 1999, [online] [retrieved on Jul. 9, 2013] URL: http://www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/stamant/papers/interact.pdf.gz, 10 pgs.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Premal Patel
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Touch screen user interfaces configured to detect a touch gesture for controlling software applications, computers, devices, machinery, and process environments. Such user interfaces can be manipulated by users and provide a wide range of uses with computer applications, assistance to the disabled, and control of electronic devices, machines, and processes. Enhancements can include velocity and pressure sensing capabilities. The touch screen can be realized with a transparent touch sensor array positioned over a visual display. The touch screen can be configured to measure a spatial pressure distribution profile from a touch on the touch screen and recognize gestures based on dynamics among spatial pressure distribution profiles. In an example implementation, the gesture recognition may rely solely on dynamics of shape differences between hand contact patterns and omit the use of pressure, as the shape of the spatial pressure distribution profile does not vary much by pressure.

18 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,168,531 A 12/1992 Sigel
5,203,704 A 4/1993 McCloud
5,214,615 A 5/1993 Bauer
5,237,647 A 8/1993 Roberts et al.
5,270,711 A 12/1993 Knapp
5,292,999 A 3/1994 Tumura
5,341,133 A 8/1994 Savoy
5,342,054 A 8/1994 Chang
5,347,295 A 9/1994 Agulnick et al.
5,357,048 A 10/1994 Sgroi
5,378,850 A 1/1995 Tumura
5,386,219 A * 1/1995 Greanias et al. 345/174
5,420,936 A * 5/1995 Fitzpatrick et al. 382/124
5,440,072 A 8/1995 Willis
5,442,168 A 8/1995 Gurner et al.
5,454,043 A 9/1995 Freeman
5,459,282 A 10/1995 Willis
5,471,008 A 11/1995 Fujita et al.
5,475,214 A 12/1995 DeFranco et al.
5,483,261 A 1/1996 Yasutake
5,531,227 A 7/1996 Schneider
5,565,641 A 10/1996 Gruenbaum
5,585,588 A 12/1996 Tumura
5,592,572 A 1/1997 Le
5,592,752 A 1/1997 Fu
5,594,469 A 1/1997 Freeman
5,598,208 A 1/1997 McClintock
5,617,855 A 4/1997 Waletzky
5,625,704 A 4/1997 Prasad
5,659,145 A 8/1997 Weil
5,659,466 A 8/1997 Norris et al.
5,659,625 A 8/1997 Marquardt
5,662,111 A 9/1997 Cosman
5,665,927 A 9/1997 Taki et al.
5,668,338 A 9/1997 Hewitt et al.
5,675,100 A 10/1997 Hewlett
5,703,303 A 12/1997 Stewart
5,717,939 A 2/1998 Bricklin et al.
5,719,347 A 2/1998 Masubachi et al.
5,719,561 A 2/1998 Gonzales
5,724,985 A 3/1998 Snell
5,741,993 A 4/1998 Kushimiya
5,748,184 A 5/1998 Shieh
5,763,806 A 6/1998 Willis
5,786,540 A 7/1998 Westlund
5,801,340 A 9/1998 Peter
5,805,137 A 9/1998 Yasutake
5,808,605 A * 9/1998 Shieh 345/173
5,824,930 A 10/1998 Ura et al.
5,827,989 A 10/1998 Fay et al.
5,841,428 A 11/1998 Jaeger et al.
5,850,051 A 12/1998 Machover et al.
5,852,251 A 12/1998 Su et al.
5,870,083 A 2/1999 Shieh
5,889,236 A 3/1999 Gillespie et al.
5,932,827 A 8/1999 Osborne et al.
5,969,283 A 10/1999 Looney et al.
5,977,466 A 11/1999 Muramatsu
5,986,224 A 11/1999 Kent
6,002,808 A 12/1999 Freeman
6,005,545 A 12/1999 Nishida et al.
6,037,937 A * 3/2000 Beaton et al. 715/764
6,047,073 A 4/2000 Norris et al.
6,051,769 A 4/2000 Brown, Jr.
6,069,326 A 5/2000 Henson
6,071,193 A 6/2000 Suzuoki
6,100,461 A 8/2000 Hewitt
6,107,997 A 8/2000 Ure
6,140,565 A 10/2000 Yamauchi et al.
6,204,441 B1 3/2001 Asahi et al.
6,225,975 B1 5/2001 Furuki et al.
6,256,046 B1 7/2001 Waters
6,278,443 B1 8/2001 Amro
6,285,358 B1 9/2001 Roberts
6,288,317 B1 9/2001 Willis
6,310,279 B1 10/2001 Suzuki et al.
6,310,610 B1 10/2001 Beaton et al.
6,320,112 B1 11/2001 Lotze
6,323,846 B1 11/2001 Westerman et al.
6,360,019 B1 3/2002 Chaddha
6,363,159 B1 3/2002 Rhoads
6,373,475 B1 4/2002 Challis
6,392,636 B1 5/2002 Ferrari
6,392,705 B1 5/2002 Chaddha
6,400,836 B2 * 6/2002 Senior 382/124
6,404,898 B1 6/2002 Rhoads
6,408,087 B1 6/2002 Kramer
6,433,801 B1 8/2002 Moon
6,509,847 B1 1/2003 Anderson
6,570,078 B2 5/2003 Ludwig
6,610,917 B2 8/2003 Ludwig
6,703,552 B2 3/2004 Haken
6,793,619 B1 9/2004 Blumental
7,030,860 B1 4/2006 Hsu et al.
7,408,108 B2 8/2008 Ludwig
7,557,797 B2 7/2009 Ludwig
7,598,949 B2 10/2009 Han
7,611,409 B2 11/2009 Muir et al.
7,936,341 B2 5/2011 Weiss
8,049,730 B2 11/2011 Joguet
8,154,529 B2 4/2012 Sleeman
8,169,414 B2 5/2012 Lim
8,170,346 B2 5/2012 Ludwig
8,179,376 B2 5/2012 Griffin
8,345,014 B2 1/2013 Lim
2001/0012000 A1 8/2001 Eberhard
2001/0036299 A1 11/2001 Senior
2002/0005108 A1 1/2002 Ludwig
2002/0093491 A1 7/2002 Gillespie et al.
2003/0003976 A1 1/2003 Mura
2003/0151592 A1 8/2003 Ritter
2004/0074379 A1 4/2004 Ludwig
2004/0118268 A1 6/2004 Ludwig
2004/0245438 A1 12/2004 Payne
2004/0251402 A1 12/2004 Reime
2005/0078085 A1 4/2005 Casebolt
2005/0179651 A1 8/2005 Ludwig
2006/0086896 A1 4/2006 Han
2006/0250353 A1 11/2006 Yasutake
2006/0252530 A1 11/2006 Oberberger et al.
2006/0267957 A1 11/2006 Kolmykov-Zotov
2007/0044019 A1 2/2007 Moon
2007/0063990 A1 3/2007 Park
2007/0216641 A1 9/2007 Young
2007/0229477 A1 10/2007 Ludwig
2007/0236477 A1 10/2007 Ryu
2007/0291009 A1 12/2007 Wright
2008/0001925 A1 1/2008 XiaoPing
2008/0010616 A1 1/2008 Algreatly
2008/0012832 A1 1/2008 GuangHai
2008/0034286 A1 2/2008 Selby
2008/0055263 A1 3/2008 Lemay
2008/0091453 A1 4/2008 Meehan
2008/0122796 A1 5/2008 Jobs et al.
2008/0143690 A1 6/2008 Jang
2008/0158172 A1 7/2008 Hotelling et al.
2008/0164076 A1 7/2008 Orsley
2008/0168403 A1 7/2008 Westerman et al.
2008/0259053 A1 10/2008 Newton
2008/0297482 A1 12/2008 Weiss
2008/0300055 A1 12/2008 Lutnick
2008/0309634 A1 12/2008 Hotelling et al.
2009/0002333 A1 1/2009 Maxwell
2009/0006292 A1 1/2009 Block
2009/0027351 A1 1/2009 Zhang et al.
2009/0051659 A1 2/2009 Mickelborough
2009/0124348 A1 5/2009 Yoseloff et al.
2009/0146968 A1 6/2009 Narita et al.
2009/0167701 A1 7/2009 Ronkainen
2009/0254869 A1 10/2009 Ludwig
2010/0013860 A1 1/2010 Mandella
2010/0044121 A1 2/2010 Simon
2010/0060607 A1 3/2010 Ludwig
2010/0073318 A1 3/2010 Hu

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2010/0079385	A1	4/2010	Holmgren
2010/0079405	A1	4/2010	Bernstein
2010/0087241	A1	4/2010	Nguyen et al.
2010/0090963	A1	4/2010	Dubs
2010/0110025	A1	5/2010	Lim
2010/0117978	A1	5/2010	Shirado
2010/0177118	A1	7/2010	Sytnikov
2010/0231612	A1	9/2010	Chaudhri et al.
2010/0232710	A1	9/2010	Ludwig
2010/0289754	A1	11/2010	Sleeman et al.
2010/0302172	A1	12/2010	Wilairat
2010/0315337	A1	12/2010	Ferren
2010/0328032	A1	12/2010	Rofougaran
2011/0007000	A1	1/2011	Lim
2011/0037735	A1	2/2011	Land
2011/0057953	A1	3/2011	Horodezky
2011/0063251	A1	3/2011	Geaghan
2011/0066984	A1	3/2011	Li
2011/0084928	A1	4/2011	Chang et al.
2011/0086706	A1	4/2011	Zalewski
2011/0170745	A1	7/2011	Chen
2011/0202889	A1	8/2011	Ludwig
2011/0202934	A1	8/2011	Ludwig
2011/0260998	A1	10/2011	Ludwig
2011/0261049	A1	10/2011	Cardno et al.
2011/0285648	A1	11/2011	Simon et al.
2012/0007821	A1	1/2012	Zaliva
2012/0034978	A1	2/2012	Lim
2012/0050185	A1	3/2012	Davydov et al.
2012/0056846	A1	3/2012	Zaliva
2012/0106782	A1	5/2012	Nathan
2012/0108323	A1	5/2012	Kelly et al.
2012/0133484	A1	5/2012	Griffin
2012/0192119	A1	7/2012	Zaliva
2012/0194461	A1	8/2012	Lim
2012/0194462	A1	8/2012	Lim
2012/0195522	A1	8/2012	Ludwig
2012/0223903	A1	9/2012	Ludwig
2012/0235940	A1	9/2012	Ludwig
2012/0262401	A1	10/2012	Rofougaran
2012/0280927	A1	11/2012	Ludwig
2012/0317521	A1	12/2012	Ludwig
2013/0004016	A1	1/2013	Karakotsios
2013/0009896	A1	1/2013	Zaliva
2013/0038554	A1	2/2013	West

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Moyle, M., et al. A Flick in the Right Direction: A Case Study of Gestural Input, Conferences in Research and Practice in Information Technology, vol. 18, Jan. 2005; New Zealand, [online] [retrieved on Jul. 9, 2013] URL:http://www.cosc.canterbury.ac.nz/andrew.cockburn/papers/moyle-cockburn.pdf, 27 pgs.

Maltoni, D., et al., "Handbook of Fingerprint Recognition," Springer Professional Computing, 2nd ed. 2009, XVI, p. 74, p. 361, [online] [retrieved on Jul. 9, 2013] URL: http://books.google.com/books?id=1Wpx25D8qOwC&pg=PA361&lpg=PA361&dq=fingerprint+minutiae, 2 pgs.

VeriFinger Information, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 11, 2013] URL: http://www.fingerprint-it.com/_sol_verifinger.html, 2 pgs.

Prabhakar S., et al., Learning fingerprint minutiae location and type, Pattern Recognition 2003, 36, [online] URL: http://www.cse.msu.edu/biometrics/Publications/Fingerprint/PrabhakarJainPankanti_MinaLocType_PR03.pdf, pp. 1847-1857.

Garcia Reyes, E., An Automatic Goodness Index to Measure Fingerprint Minutiae Quality, Progress in Pattern Recognition, Image Analysis and Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science vol. 3773, 2005, pp. 578-585, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 2, 2013] URL: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/226946511_An_Automatic_Goodness_Index_to_Measure_Fingerprint_Minutiae_Quality/file/d912f50ba5e96320d5.pdf.

Kayaoglu, M., et al., Standard Fingerprint Databases: Manual Minutiae Labeling and Matcher Performance Analyses, arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.1443, 2013, 14 pgs, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 2, 2013] URL: http://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1305/1305.1443.pdf.

Alonso-Fernandez, F., et al., Fingerprint Recognition, Chapter 4, Guide to Biometric Reference Systems and Performance Evaluation, (Springer, London, 2009, pp. 51-90, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 2, 2013] URL: http://www2.hh.se/staff/josef/public/publications/alonso-fernandez09chapter.pdf.

Image moment, Jul. 12, 2010, 3 pgs, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 13, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image_moment.

Nguyen, N., et al., Comparisons of sequence labeling algorithms and extensions, Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Machine Learning, 2007, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 2, 2013] URL: http://www.cs.cornell.edu/~nhnguyen/icml07structured.pdf, pp. 681-688.

Nissen, S., Implementation of a Fast Artificial Neural Network Library (FANN), Department of Computer Science University of Copenhagen (DIKU)}, Oct. 31, 2003, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 21, 2013] URL: http://mirror.transact.net.au/sourceforge/f/project/fa/fann/fann_doc/1.0/fann_doc_complete_1.0.pdf, 92 pgs.

Igel, C., et al., Improving the Rprop Learning Algorithm, Proceedings of the Second International ICSC Symposium on Neural Computation (NC 2000), 2000, 2000, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 2, 2013] URL: http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.17.3899&rep=rep1&type=pdf, pp. 115-121.

Bishop, C.M., Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Springer New York, 2006, pp. 561-593.

Euler Angles, 2011, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 30, 2011] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Euler_angles&oldid=436460926, 8 pgs.

Electronic Statistics Textbook, StatSoft, Inc., 2011, [online] [retrieved on Jul. 1, 2011] URL: http://www.statsoft.com/textbook, 1 pg.

Central Moment, Dec. 16, 2009, [online] [retrieved on Oct. 26, 2010] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Central_moment& oldid=332048374.

Local regression, Nov. 16, 2010, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 28, 2011] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Local_regression& oldid=416762287.

USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 6, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/846,830, filed Mar. 18, 2013.

Hernandez-Leon, R., et al., Classifying using Specific Rules with High Confidence, 9th Mexican International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IEEE, Nov. 2010, pp. 75-80.

Fang, Y., et al., Dynamics of a Winner-Take-All Neural Network, Neural Networks, 9(7), Oct. 1996, pp. 1141-1154.

Krueger, M. W., et al., Videoplace—An Artificial Reality, Proceeding, CHI '85 Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 35-40, ACM New York, NY, USA, Apr. 1985.

Krueger, M. W., ACM Siggraph Touchware, Pioneers, [online] [retrieved on Nov. 19, 2013] URL: http://www.siggraph.org/artdesign/gallery/S98/pione/pione3/krueger.html, last updated 1999, 1 pg.

Videoplace, [online] [retrieved on Nov. 19, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/Videoplace, last updated Sep. 3, 2013, 1 pg.

Krueger, M., Artmuseum.net, Pioneers, Responsive 1970, [online] [retrieved on Nov. 19, 2013] URL: http://web.archive.org/web/20070929094921/http://www.artmuseum.net/w2vr/timeline/Krueger.html, Sep. 29, 2007, 1 pg.

Turner, J., Myron Krueger Live, ctheory.net, [online] [retrieved on Nov. 19, 2013] http://www.ctheory.net/articles.aspx?id=328, Jan. 23, 2002, 8 pgs.

USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 18, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/786,346, filed Mar. 5, 2013.

USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 18, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/786,265, filed Mar. 5, 2013.

USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 6, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/731,946, filed Dec. 31, 2012.

USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 26, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/549,285, filed Jul. 13, 2012.

USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 10, 2014 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/180,512, filed Jul. 11, 2011.

(56) **References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Ronse, C., Connected Components in Binary Images: The Detection Problem, John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York, 1984.
Viberg, M., Subspace Fitting Concepts in Sensor Array Processing, Linkoping Studies in Science and Technology. Dissertations No. 27 Linkoping, Sweden 1989.
Shapiro, L. S., Affine Analysis of Image Sequences, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
Nara, Y., Matsushita Demos Multilayer MPEG-4 Compression, Electronic Engineering Times, Apr. 15, 1999 [online] URL: http://www.eetimes.com/document.asp?doc_id=1139149.
Moog, R. A., The Human Finger—A Versatile Electronic Music Instrument Component, Audio Engineering Society Preprint, 1977, New York, NY, 4 pgs.
Johnson, C., Image sensor tracks moving objects in hardware, Electronic Engineering Times, Apr. 5, 1999, 1 pg.
Kaoss pad dynamic effect/controller, Korg Proview Users' magazine Summer 1999, 2 pgs.
Leiberman, D., Touch screens extend grasp Into consumer realm, Electronic Engineering Times, Feb. 8, 1999.
Lim, et al., A Fast Algorithm for Labelling Connected Components in Image Arrays, Technical Report Series, No. NA86-2, Thinking Machines Corp., 1986 (rev. 1987), Cambridge, Mass., USA , 17 pgs.
Pennywitt, K., Robotic Tactile Sensing, Byte, Jan. 1986, 14 pgs.
Review of Korg X-230 Drum (later called "Wave Drum"), Electronic Musician, Apr. 1994, 1 pg.
Rich, R., Buchla Lightning MIDI Controller, Electronic Musician, Oct. 1991, 5 pgs.
Rich, R., Buchla Thunder, Electronic Musician, Aug. 1990, 4 pgs.
Dario P., et al., Tactile sensors and the gripping challenge, IEEE Spectrum, vol. 5, No. 22, Aug. 1985, pp. 46-52.
Snell, J. M., Sensors for Playing Computer Music with Expression, Proceedings of the Intl. Computer Music Conf. at Eastman, 1983, pp. 113-126.
Verner J., Artif Starr Switch Company Ztar 624-D, Electronic Musician, Nov. 1994, 5 pgs.
Haken, L., An Indiscrete Music Keyboard, Computer Music Journal, Spring 1998, pp. 30-48.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated May 8, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 12/541,948, filed Aug. 15, 2009.
Buxton, W. A. S., Two-Handed Document Navigation, Xerox Disclosure Journal, 19(2), Mar./Apr. 1994 [online] URL: http://www.billbuxton.com/2Hnavigation.html, pp. 103-108.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Mar. 20, 2012 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 12/724,413, filed Mar. 15, 2010.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 10, 2008 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 10/683,914, filed Oct. 10, 2003.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Nov. 9, 2012 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 12/502,230, filed Jul. 13, 2009.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Mar. 12, 2012 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 12/511,930, filed Jul. 29, 2009.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated May 16, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/441,842, filed Apr. 7, 2012.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated May 24, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/442,815, filed Apr. 9, 2012.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 24, 2002 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 09/812,870, filed Mar. 19, 2001.
Otsu's method, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 26, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Otsu_method, Sep. 13, 2010, 2 pgs.
Principal component analysis, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 26, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principal_component_analysis, Feb. 25, 2011, 9 pgs.
USPTO Notice of Allowance dated May 30, 2013 issued in U.S. Appl. No. 13/442,806, filed Apr. 9, 2012.
DIY Touchscreen Analysis, MOTO, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://labs.moto.com/diy-touchscreen-analysis/, Jul. 15, 2010, 23 pgs.
Wilson, T.V., How the iPhone Works, howstuffworks, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/iphone2.htm, Jan. 8, 2011, 11 pgs.
Walker, G., Touch and the Apple iPhone, Veritas et Visus, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://www.veritasetvisus.com/VVTP-12,%20Walker.pdf, Feb. 2007, pp. 50-54.
Han, J., Multi-Touch Sensing through LED Matrix Displays (video), [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] "http://cs.nyu.edu/~jhan/ledtouch/index.html," Feb. 18, 2011, 1 pg.
Roberts Cross, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roberts_Cross, Jul. 20, 2010, visited Feb. 28, 2011, 3 pgs.
Sobel Operator, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sobel_operator, Mar. 12, 2010, visited Feb. 28, 2011, 5 pgs.
Prewitt, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prewitt, Mar. 15, 2010, visited Feb. 28, 2011, 2 pgs.
Coefficient of variation, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coefficient_of_variation, Feb. 15, 2010, visited Feb. 28, 2011, 2 pgs.
Canny edge detector, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Canny_edge_detector, Mar. 5, 2010, 4 pgs.
Polynomial regression, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polynomial_regression, Jul. 24, 2010, 4 pgs.
Pilu,M., et al., Training PDMs on models: The Case of Deformable Superellipses, Proceedings of the 7th British Machine Vision Conference, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1996, pp. 373-382, [online] [retrieved on Feb. 28, 2011] URL: https://docs.google.com/viewera=v&pid=explorer&chrome=true&srcid=OBxWzm3JBPmNDI1MDIxZGUtNGZhZi00NzJhLWFhZDMtNTJmYmRiMWYyMjBh&authkey=CPeVx4wO&hl=en.
Osian, M., et. al., Fitting Superellipses to Incomplete Contours, IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW '04), Jun. 2004, 8 pgs.
Hough transform, [online] [retrieved on Feb. 13, 2010] URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hough_transform, Feb. 13, 2010, 7 pgs.
Tactile Pressure Measurement, Pressure Mapping Systems, and Force Sensors and Measurement Systems, [online] [retrieved on Aug. 6, 2013] URL: http://www.tekscan.com, 2 pgs.
Tactile Surface Pressure and Force Sensors,Sensor Products LLC, Oct. 26, 2006, [online] [retrieved on Aug. 6, 2013] URL: http://www.sensorprod.com, 2 pgs.
Pressure Profile Systems, Jan. 29, 2011, [online] [retrieved on Jan. 29, 2011] URL: http://www.pressureprofile.com, 1 pg.
Xsensor Technology Corporation, Feb. 7, 2011, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://www.xsensor.com, 1 pg.
Balda AG, Feb. 26, 2011, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://www.balda.de, 1 pg.
Cypress Semiconductor, Feb. 28, 2011, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://www.cypress.com, 1 pg.
Synaptics, Jan. 28, 2011, [online] [retrieved on May 12, 2013] URL: http://www.synaptics.com, 1 pg.
Venolia, D., et al., T-Cube: A Fast, Self-Disclosing Pen-Based Alphabet, CHI '94 Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Apr. 24-28, 1994, pp. 265-270.
Davis, R. C., et al., NotePals: Lightweight Note Taking by the Group, for the Group, University of California, Berkeley, Computer Science Division, 1998, 8 pgs.
Rekimoto, Jun, Pick-and-Drop: A Direct Manipulation Technique for Multiple Computer Environments, Sony Computer Science Laboratory Inc., Tokyo, Japan, 1997, [online] [retrieved on May 30, 2013] URL: http://www.sonycsl.co.jp/person/rekimoto/papers/uist97.pdf, 8 pgs.
Davis, R. C., et al., NotePals: Lightweight Note Sharing by the Group, for the Group, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 2, 2013] URL: http://dub.washington.edu:2007/projects/notepals/pubs/notepals-chi99-final.pdf, 9 pgs.
Want, R., et al., The PARCTAB ubiquitous computing experiment, 1995-1996, [online] [retrieved on Jun. 10, 2013] URL: http://www.ece.rutgers.edu/~parashar/Classes/02-03/ece572/perv-reading/the-parctab-ubiquitous-computing.pdf, 44 pgs.

* cited by examiner


Constant Current Source
1101
1102a
1102b
1106a
1109a
1108a
1100
1106b
1103
1104
1106c
1100a
1106d
1105
1106e
1106f
1106g
1108b
1109b
1107a
1107b
Bias Voltage Source
1110
Nominal Voltage Reference
1113
1112
1111

Figure 1


Pressure Sensor Array Touchpad
1300
1302
Data Aquisition
1301
1304
1308
1303
Region Define
1306
1307
1305
Region Classify
1310
1309
Parameter Derive
1312
1311
Control Signal Assign
1313

Figure 2



Figure 3


1500
End Finger
- tip
1501
- flat
1502
- pressed
1503
1504
Flat Finger - tip segment
1504a
- mid segment
1504b
- inner segment
1504c
Thumb
1505
Wrist
1506
Cuff
1507
Palm
1508
1511
Whole Hand Fist
1511a
1511b
1511c
1512
Whole Hand Flat; Spread Fingers
1513c
1513b
1513a
1513d
1512b
1512a

Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7

SENSOR ARRAY TOUCHSCREEN RECOGNIZING FINGER FLICK GESTURE FROM SPATIAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION PROFILES

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/761,978, filed Jun. 12, 2007, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/812,400, filed Mar. 19, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,786,370, issued Aug. 31, 2010, which is a division of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/313,533, filed May 15, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,610,917, issued Aug. 26, 2003, which claims the benefit of priority of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/085,713, filed May 15, 1998.

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to a control system, and in particular, to a tactile input controller for controlling an associated system.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Touchpad user interfaces for controlling external systems such as computers, machinery, and process environments via at least three independent control signals. The touchpad may be operated by hand, other parts of the body, or inanimate objects. Such an interface affords a wide range of uses in computer applications, machine and process control, and assistance to the disabled. In one embodiment simple contact position-sensing touchpads, producing control signals responsive to a contact region, are enhanced to provide several independent control signals. Enhancements may include velocity sensors, pressure sensors, and electronic configurations measuring contact region widths. Touch-screens positioned over visual displays may be adapted. According to other aspects pressure-sensor array touchpads are combined with image processing to responsively calculate parameters from contact regions. Six independent control parameters can be derived from each region of contact. These may be easily manipulated by a user. In one implementation, smaller pressure-sensor arrays are combined with data acquisition and processing into a chip that can be tiled in an array.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The above and other aspects, features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent upon consideration of the following description of preferred embodiments taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawing figures, wherein:

FIG. **1** shows an example of how two independent contact points can be independently discerned, or the dimensional-width of a single contact point can be discerned, for a resistance null/contact controller with a single conductive contact plate or wire and one or more resistive elements whose resistance per unit length is a fixed constant through each resistive element;

FIG. **2** shows how a pressure-sensor array touch-pad can be combined with image processing to assign parameterized interpretations to measured pressure gradients and output those parameters as control signals;

FIG. **3** illustrates the positioning and networking of pressure sensing and processing "mini-array" chips in larger contiguous structures;

FIG. **4** illustrates the pressure profiles for a number of example hand contacts with a pressure-sensor array;

FIG. **5** illustrates how six degrees of freedom can be recovered from the contact of a single finger; and

FIG. **6** illustrates examples of single, double, and quadruple touch-pad instruments with pads of various sizes and supplemental instrument elements.

FIG. **7** illustrates an example implementation involving dynamically assigned labels.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Overview

Described herein are two kinds of novel touch-pads. Null/contact touchpads are contact-position sensing devices that normally are in a null state unless touched and produce a control signal when touched whose signal value corresponds to typically one unique position on the touch-pad. A first enhancement is the addition of velocity and/or pressure sensing. A second enhancement is the ability to either discern each dimensional-width of a single contact area or, alternatively, independently discern two independent contact points in certain types of null/contact controllers. A third possible enhancement is that of employing a touch-screen instance of null/contact touch pad and positioning it over a video display.

The invention also provides for a pressure-sensor array touch-pad. A pressure-sensor array touch-pad of appropriate sensitivity range, appropriate "pixel" resolution, and appropriate physical size is capable of measuring pressure gradients of many parts of the human hand or foot simultaneously. A pressure-sensor array touch-pad can be combined with image processing to assign parameterized interpretations to measured pressure gradients and output those parameters as control signals. The pressure-sensor "pixels" of a pressure-sensor array are interfaced to a data acquisition stage; the data acquisition state looks for sensor pixel pressure measurement values that exceed a low-level noise-rejection/deformity-reject threshold; contiguous regions of sufficiently high pressure values are defined; the full collection of region boundaries are subjected to classification tests; various parameters are derived from each independent region; and these parameters are assigned to the role of specific control signals which are then output to a signal routing, processing, and synthesis entity.

It is possible to derive a very large number of independent control parameters which are easily manipulated by the operating user. For example, six degrees of freedom can be recovered from the contact of a single finger. A whole hand posture can yield 17 instantaneously and simultaneously measurable parameters which are independently adjustable per hand. The recognized existence and/or derived parameters from postures and gestures may be assigned to specific outgoing control signal formats and ranges. The hand is used throughout as an example, but it is understood that the foot or even other body regions, animal regions, objects, or physical phenomena can replace the role of the hand.

It will be evident to one of ordinary skill in the art that it is advantageous to have large numbers of instantaneously and simultaneously measurable parameters which are independently adjustable. For instance, a symbol in a 2-D CAD drawing can be richly interactively selected and installed or edited in moments as opposed to tens to hundreds of seconds as is required by mouse manipulation of parameters one or two at a time and the necessary mode-changes needed to change the mouse action interpretation. As a result, said touch-pad has applications in computer workstation control,

general real-time machine control, computer data entry, and computer simulation environments.

Various hardware implementations are possible. A particularly advantageous implementation would be to implement a small pressure-sensor array together with data acquisition and a small processor into a single chip package that can be laid as tiles in a larger array.

Null/Contact Touch-Pads

Distinguished from panel controls and sensors are what will be termed null/contact touch-pads. This is a class of contact-position sensing devices that normally are in a null state unless touched and produce a control signal when touched whose signal value corresponds to typically one unique position on the touch-pad. Internal position sensing mechanisms may be resistive, capacitive, optical, standing wave, etc. Examples of these devices include one-dimensional-sensing ribbon controllers found on early music synthesizers, two-dimensional-sensing pads such as the early Kawala pad and more modern mini-pads found on some lap-top computers, and two-dimensional-sensing see-through touch-screens often employed in public computer kiosks.

The null condition, when the pad is untouched, requires and/or provides the opportunity for special handling. Some example ways to handle the untouched condition include:

- sample-hold (hold values issued last time sensor was touched, as does a joystick)
- bias **1107***a*, **1107***b* (issue maximal-range value, minimal-range value, mid-range value, or other value)
- touch-detect on another channel (i.e., a separate out-of-band "gate" channel).

Additional enhancements can be added to the adaptation of null/contact touch-pad controllers as instrument elements. A first enhancement is the addition of velocity and/or pressure sensing. This can be done via global impact and/or pressure-sensors. An extreme of this is implementation of the null/contact touch-pad controller as a pressure-sensor array; this special case and its many possibilities are described later.

A second enhancement is the ability to either discern each dimensional-width of a single contact area or, alternatively, independently discern two independent contact points in certain types of null/contact controllers. FIG. **1** shows an example of how two independent contact points can be independently discerned, or the dimensional-width of a single contact point can be discerned, for a resistance null/contact controller with a single conductive contact plate (as with the Kawala pad product) or wire (as in a some types of ribbon controller products) and one or more resistive elements **1100** whose resistance per unit length is a fixed constant through each resistive element. It is understood that a one-dimensional null/contact touch-pad typically has one such resistive element while a two-dimensional null/contact touch-pad typically has two such resistive elements that operate independently in each direction.

Referring to FIG. **1**, a constant current source **1101** can be applied to the resistive element as a whole **1102***a*-**1102***b*, developing a fixed voltage across the entire resistive element **1100**. When any portion of the resistive element is contacted by either a non-trivial contiguous width and/or multiple points of contact **1104**-**1105**, part of the resistive element is shorted out **1100***a*, thus reducing the overall width-to-end resistance of the resistance element. Because of the constant current source **1101**, the voltage developed across the entire resistive element **1102***a*-**1102***b* drops by an amount equal to the portion of the resistance that is shorted out.

The value of the voltage drop then equals a value in proportion to the distance separating the extremes of the wide and/or multiple contact points **1104**-**1105**. By subtracting **1111**, **1112**, **1113** the actual voltage across the entire resistive element from the value this voltage is normally **1110**, a control voltage proportional to distance separating the extremes of the wide and/or multiple contact points **1104**-**1105** is generated. Simultaneously, the voltage difference between that of the contact plate/wire **1103** and that of the end of the resistive element closest to an external contact point **1102***a* or **1102***b* is still proportional to the distance from said end to said external contact point. Using at most simple op-amp summing and/or differential amplifiers **1108***a*, **1108***b*, **1112**, a number of potential control voltages can be derived; for example one or more of these continuously-valued signals:

- value of distance difference between external contact points (or "width"; as described above via constant current source, nominal reference voltage, and differential amplifier **1113**
- center of a non-trivial-width region (obtained by simple averaging, i.e., sum with gain of ½)
- value of distance difference **1109***a* between one end of the resistive element and the closest external contact point (simple differential amplifier)
- value of distance difference between the other end of the resistive element and the other external contact point (sum above voltage with "width" voltage with appropriate sign) **1109***b*.

Further, through use of simple threshold comparators, specific thresholds of shorted resistive element can be deemed to be, for example, any of a single point contact, a recognized contact region width, two points of contact, etc., producing corresponding discrete-valued control signals. The detection of a width can be treated as a contact event for a second parameter analogous to the single contact detection event described at the beginning. Some example usages of these various continuous and discrete signals are:

- existence of widths or multiple contact points may be used to trigger events or timbre changes
- degree of widths may be used to control degrees of modulation or timbre changes
- independent measurement of each external contact point from the same end of the resistive element can be used to independently control two parameters. In the simplest form, one parameter is always larger than another; in more complex implementations, the trajectories of each contact point can be tracked (using a differentiator and controlled parameter assignment switch); as long as they never simultaneously touch, either parameter can vary and be larger or smaller than the other.

It is understood that analogous approaches may be applied to other null/contact touchpad technologies such as capacitive or optical.

A third possible enhancement is that of employing a touch-screen instance of null/contact touch-pad and positioning it over a video display. The video display could for example provide dynamically assigned labels, abstract spatial cues, spatial gradients, line-of-site cues for fixed or motor-controlled lighting, etc. which would be valuable for use in conjunction with the adapted null/contact touch-pad controller.

These various methods of adapted null/contact touch-pad elements can be used stand-alone or arranged in arrays. In addition, they can be used as a component or addendum to instruments featuring other types of instrument elements.

Pressure-Sensor Array Touch-Pads

The invention provides for use of a pressure-sensor array arranged as a touch-pad together with associated image processing. As with the null/contact controller, these pressure-

sensor array touch-pads may be used stand-alone or organized into an array of such pads.

It is noted that the inventor's original vision of the below described pressure-sensor array touch-pad was for applications not only in music but also for computer data entry, computer simulation environments, and real-time machine control, applications to which the below described pressure-sensor array touch-pad clearly can also apply.

A pressure-sensor array touch-pad of appropriate sensitivity range, appropriate "pixel" resolution, and appropriate physical size is capable of measuring pressure gradients of many parts of the flexibly-rich human hand or foot simultaneously. FIG. **2** shows how a pressure sensor array touch-pad can be combined with image processing to assign parameterized interpretations to measured pressure gradients and output those parameters as control signals.

The pressure-sensor "pixels" of a pressure-sensor array touch-pad **1300** are interfaced to a data acquisition stage **1301**. The interfacing method may be fully parallel but in practice may be advantageously scanned at a sufficiently high rate to give good dynamic response to rapidly changing human touch gestures. To avoid the need for a buffer amplifier for each pressure-sensor pixel, electrical design may carefully balance parasitic capacitance of the scanned array with the electrical characteristics of the sensors and the scan rates; electrical scanning frequencies can be reduced by partitioning the entire array into distinct parts that are scanned in parallel so as to increase the tolerance for address settling times and other limiting processes.

Alternatively, the pressure-sensor array **1300** may be fabricated in such a way that buffer amplifier arrays can be inexpensively attached to the sensor array **1300**, or the sensors may be such that each contains its own buffer amplifier; under these conditions, design restrictions on scanning can be relaxed and operate at higher speeds. Although the pressure sensors may be likely analog in nature, a further enhancement would be to use digital-output pressure-sensor elements or sub-arrays.

The data acquisition stage **1301** looks for sensor pixel pressure measurement values that exceed a low-level noise-rejection/deformity-rejection threshold. The sufficiently high pressure value of each such sensor pixel is noted along with the relative physical location of that pixel (known via the pixel address). This noted information may be stored "raw" for later processing and/or may be subjected to simple boundary tests and then folded into appropriate running calculations as will be described below. In general, the pressure values and addresses of sufficiently high pressure value pixels are presented to a sequence of processing functions which may be performed on the noted information:

- contiguous regions of sufficiently high pressure values are defined (a number of simple run-time adjacency tests can be used; many are known—see for example [Ronse; Viberg; Shapiro; Hara])
- the full collection of region boundaries are subjected to classification tests; in cases a given contiguous region may be split into a plurality of tangent or co-bordered independently recognized regions
- various parameters are derived from each independent region, for example geometric center, center of pressure, average pressure, total size, angle-of-rotation-from reference for non-round regions, second-order and higher-order geometric moments, second-order and higher-order pressure moments, etc.
- assignment of these parameters to the role of specific control signals (note events, control parameters, etc.) which are then output to a signal routing, processing, and synthesis entity; for example, this may be done in the form of MIDI messages.

Because of the number of processes involved in such a pipeline, it is advantageous to follow a data acquisition stage **1301** with one or more additional processing stages **1303**, **1305**, **1309**, and **1311**. Of the four example processing functions just listed, the first three fall in the character of image processing. It is also possible to do a considerable amount of the image processing steps actually within the data acquisition step, namely any of simple adjacency tests and folding selected address and pressure measurement information into running sums or other running pre-calculations later used to derive aforementioned parameters. The latter method can be greatly advantageous as it can significantly collapses the amount of data to be stored.

Regardless of whether portions of the image processing are done within or beyond the data acquisition stage, there are various hardware implementations possible. One hardware approach would involve very simple front-end scanned data acquisition hardware and a single high-throughput microprocessor/signal-processor chip. Alternatively, an expanded data acquisition stage may be implemented in high-performance dedicated function hardware and this would be connected to a lower performance processor chip. A third, particularly advantageous implementation would be to implement a small pressure-sensor array together with data acquisition and a small processor into a single low-profile chip package that can be laid as tiles in a nearly seamless larger array. In such an implementation all image processing could in fact be done via straightforward partitions into message-passing distributed algorithms.

One or more individual chips could direct output parameter streams to an output processor which would organize and/or assign parameters to output control channels, perhaps in a programmable manner under selectable stored program control. A tiled macro array of such "sensor mini-array" chips could be networked by a tapped passive bus, one- or two-dimensional mode active bus daisy-chain, a potentially expandable star-wired centralized message passing chip or subsystem, or other means.

Creating a large surface from such "tile chips" will aid in the serviceability of the surface. Since these chips can be used as tiles to build a variety of shapes, it is therefore possible to leverage a significant manufacturing economy-of-scale so as to minimize cost and justify more extensive feature development. Advanced seating and connector technologies, as used in laptops and other high-performance miniature consumer electronics, can be used to minimize the separation between adjacent chip "tiles" and resultant irregularities in the tiled-surface smoothness. A tiled implementation may also include a thin rugged flexible protective film that separates the sensor chips from the outside world. FIG. **3** illustrates the positioning and networking of pressure sensing and processing "mini-array" chips **1400** in larger contiguous structures **1410**.

With the perfection of a translucent pressure-sensor array, it further becomes possible for translucent pressure-sensor arrays to be laid atop aligned visual displays such as LCDs, florescent, plasma, CRTs, etc. as was discussed above for null/contact touch-pads. The displays can be used to label areas of the sensor array, illustrate gradients, etc. FIG. **7** illustrates an example implementation involving dynamically assigned labels on a video display **700** for use in conjunction with a transparent touch-screen **701**. Note that in the "tile chip" implementation, monochrome or color display areas may indeed be built into each chip.

Returning now to the concept of a pressure-sensor array touch-pad large enough for hand-operation: examples of hand contact that may be recognized, example methods for how these may be translated into control parameters, and examples of how these all may be used are now described. In the below the hand is used throughout as an example, but it is understood that the foot or even other body regions, animal regions, objects, or physical phenomena can replace the role of the hand in these illustrative examples.

FIG. **4** illustrates the pressure profiles for a number of example hand contacts with a pressure-sensor array. In the case **1500** of a finger's end, pressure on the touch-pad pressure-sensor array can be limited to the finger tip, resulting in a spatial pressure distribution profile **1501**; this shape does not change much as a function of pressure. Alternatively, the finger can contact the pad with its flat region, resulting in light pressure profiles **1502** which are smaller in size than heavier pressure profiles **1503**. In the case **1504** where the entire finger touches the pad, a three-segment pattern (**1504***a*, **1504***b*, **1504***c*) will result under many conditions; under light pressure a two segment pattern (**1504***b* or **1504***c* missing) could result. In all but the lightest pressures the thumb makes a somewhat discernible shape **1505** as do the wrist **1506**, cuff **1507**, and palm **1508**; at light pressures these patterns thin and can also break into disconnected regions. Whole hand patterns such as the first **1511** and flat hand **1512** have more complex shapes. In the case of the first **1511**, a degree of curl can be discerned from the relative geometry and separation of sub-regions (here depicted, as an example, as **1511***a*, **1511***b*, and **1511***c*). In the case of the whole flat hand **1512**, there can be two or more sub-regions which may be in fact joined (as within **1512***a*) and/or disconnected (as an example, as **1512***a* and **1512***b* are); the whole hand also affords individual measurement of separation "angles" among the digits and thumb (**1513***a*, **1513***b*, **1513***c*, **1513***d*) which can easily be varied by the user.

Relatively simple pattern recognition software can be used to discern these and other hand contact patterns which will be termed "postures." The pattern recognition working together with simple image processing may, further, derive a very large number of independent control parameters which are easily manipulated by the operating user. In many cases it may be advantageous to train a system to the particulars of a specific person's hand(s) and/or specific postures. In other situations the system may be designed to be fully adaptive and adjust to a person's hand automatically. In practice, for the widest range of control and accuracy, both training and ongoing adaptation may be useful. Further, the recognized postures described thus far may be combined in sequence with specific dynamic variations among them (such as a finger flick, double-tap, etc.) and as such may be also recognized and thus treated as an additional type of recognized pattern; such sequential dynamics among postures will be termed "gestures."

The admission of gestures further allows for the derivation of additional patterns such as the degree or rate of variation within one or more of the gesture dynamics. Finally, the recognized existence and/or derived parameters from postures and gestures may be assigned to specific outgoing control signal formats and ranges. Any training information and/or control signal assignment information may be stored and recalled for one or more players via stored program control.

For each recognized pattern, the amount of information that can be derived as parameters is in general very high. For the human hand or foot, there are, typically, artifacts such as shape variation due to elastic tissue deformation that permit recovery of up to all six degrees of freedom allowed in an object's orientation in 3-space.

FIG. **5** illustrates how six degrees of freedom can be recovered from the contact of a single finger. In the drawing, the finger **1600** makes contact with the touch-pad **1601** with its end segment at a point on the touch-pad surface determined by coordinates **1611** and **1612** (these would be, for example, left/right for **1611** and forward/backward for **1612**). Fixing this point of contact, the finger **1600** is also capable of rotational twisting along its length **1613** as well as rocking back and forth **1614**. The entire finger can also be pivoted with motion **1615** about the contact point defined by coordinates **1611** and **1612**. These are all clearly independently controlled actions, and yet it is still possible in any configuration of these thus far five degrees of freedom, to vary the overall pressure **1616** applied to the contact point. Simple practice, if it is even needed, allows the latter overall pressure **1616** to be independently fixed or varied by the human operator as other parameters are adjusted.

In general other and more complex hand contacts, such as use of two fingers, the whole hand, etc. forfeit some of these example degrees of freedom but often introduce others. For example, in the quite constrained case of a whole hand posture, the fingers and thumb can exert pressure independently (5 parameters), the finger and thumb separation angles can be varied (4 parameters), the finger ends **1504***a* can exert pressure independently from the middle **1504***b* and inner **1504***c* segments (4 parameters), the palm can independently vary its applied pressure (1 parameter) while independently tilting/rocking in two directions (2 parameters) and the thumb can curl (1 parameter), yielding 17 instantaneously and simultaneously measurable parameters which are independently adjustable per hand. Complex contact postures may also be viewed as, or decomposed into, component sub-postures (for example here, as flat-finger contact, palm contact, and thumb contact) which would then derive parameters from each posture independently. For such complex contact postures, recognition as a larger compound posture which may then be decomposed allows for the opportunity to decouple and/or renormalize the parameter extraction in recognition of the special affairs associated with and constraints imposed by specific complex contact postures.

It is noted that the derived parameters may be pre-processed for specific uses. One example of this would be the quantization of a parameter into two or more discrete steps; these could for example be sequentially interpreted as sequential notes of a scale or melody. Another example would be that of warping a parameter range as measured to one with a more musically expressive layout.

Next examples of the rich metaphorical aspects of interacting with the pressure sensor array touch-pad are illustrated. In many cases there may be one or more natural geometric metaphor(s) applicable, such as associating left-right position, left-right twisting, or left-right rotation with stereo panning, or in associating overall pressure with volume or spectral complexity. In more abstract cases, there may be pairs of parameters that go together—here, for example with a finger end, it may be natural to associate one parameter pair with (left/right and forward/backward) contact position and another parameter pair with (left/right and forward/backward) twisting/rocking. In this latter example there is available potential added structure in the metaphor by viewing the twisting/rocking plane as being superimposed over the position plane. The superposition aspect of the metaphor can be viewed as an index, or as an input-plane/output-plane distinction for a two-input/two-output transformation, or as two separated processes which may be caused to converge or

morph according to additional overall pressure, or in conjunction with a dihedral angle of intersection between two independent processes, etc.

Next, examples of the rich syntactical aspects of interacting with the pressure-sensor array touch-pad are illustrated. Some instruments have particular hand postures naturally associated with their playing. It is natural then to recognize these classical hand-contact postures and derive control parameters that match and/or transcend how a classical player would use these hand positions to evoke and control sound from the instrument. Further, some postures could be recognized either in isolation or in gestural-context as being ones associated with (or assigned to) percussion effects while remaining postures may be associated with accompanying melodies or sound textures.

As an additional syntactic aspect, specific hand postures and/or gestures may be mapped to specific selected assignments of control signals in ways affiliated with specific purposes. For example, finger ends may be used for one collection of sound synthesis parameters, thumb for a second potentially partially overlapping collection of sound synthesis parameters, flat fingers for a third partially-overlapping collection, wrist for a fourth, and cuff for a fifth, and first for a sixth. In this case it may be natural to move the hand through certain connected sequences of motions; for example: little finger end, still in contact, dropping to flat-finger contact, then dropping to either palm directly or first to cuff and then to palm, then moving to wrist, all never breaking contact with the touch-pad. Such permissible sequences of postures that can be executed sequentially without breaking contact with the touch-pad will be termed "continuous grammars."

Under these circumstances it is useful to set up parameter assignments, and potentially associated context-sensitive parameter renormalizations, that work in the context of selected (or all available) continuous grammars. For example, as the hand contact evolves as being recognized as one posture and then another, parameters may be smoothly handed-over in interpretation from one posture to another without abrupt changes, while abandoned parameters either hold their last value or return to a default value (instantly or via a controlled envelope).

Now a number of example applications of the pressure-sensor array touchpad are provided. It is known to be possible and valuable to use the aforementioned pressure-sensor array touch-pad, implicitly containing its associated data acquisition, processing, and assignment elements, for many, many applications such as general machine control and computer workstation control. One example of machine control is in robotics: here a finger might be used to control a hazardous material robot hand as follows:

- left/right position: left/right hand position
- in/out position: in/out hand position
- in/out rock: up/down hand position
- rotation: hand grip approach angle
- overall pressure: grip strength
- left/right twist: gesture to lock or release current grip from pressure control

A computer workstation example may involve a graphical Computer-Aided Design application currently requiring intensive mouse manipulation of parameters one or two at a time:

- left/right position: left/right position of a selected symbol in a 2-D CAD drawing
- in/out position: up/down position of a selected symbol in 2-D CAD drawing
- left/right twist: symbol selection—left/right motion through 2-D palette
- in/out rock: symbol selection—up/down motion through 2-D palette
- rotation: rotation of selected symbol in the drawing
- overall pressure: sizing by steps
- tap of additional finger: lock selection into drawing or unlock for changes
- tap of thumb: undo
- palm: toggle between add new object and select existing object

Clearly a symbol can be richly interactively selected and installed or edited in moments as opposed to tens to hundreds of seconds as is required by mouse manipulation of parameters one or two at a time and the necessary mode-changes needed to change the mouse action interpretation.

Touch-Pad Array

Touch-pad instrument elements, such as null/contact types and pressure-sensor array types described earlier, can be used in isolation or arrays to create electronic controller instruments. The touch-pad(s) may be advantageously supplemented with panel controls such as push buttons, sliders, knobs as well as impact sensors for velocity-controlled triggering of percussion or pitched note events. If one or more of the touch-pads is transparent (as in the case of a null/contact touch screen overlay) one or more video, graphics, or alpha-numeric displays **2711** may placed under a given pad or group of pads.

FIG. **6** illustrates examples of single **2710**, double **2720**, and quadruple **2730** touchpad instruments with pads of various sizes. A single touch-pad could serve as the central element of such an instrument, potentially supplemented with panel controls such as push buttons **2714**, sliders **2715**, knobs **2716** as well as impact sensors. In FIG. **6**, a transparent pad superimposed over a video, graphics, or one or more alpha-numeric displays is assumed, and specifically shown is a case of underlay graphics cues being displayed for the player. Two large sensors can be put side by side to serves as a general purpose left-hand/right-hand multi-parameter controller.

All publications and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference. The invention now being fully described, it will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that many changes and modifications can be made thereto without departing from its spirit or scope.

REFERENCES CITED

The following references are cited in this patent application using the format of the first one or two authors last name(s) within square brackets"[ ]", multiple references within a pair of square brackets separated by semicolons ";"

[Ronse] Ronse, Christian and Devijver, Pierre A., *Connected Components in Binary Images: the Detection Problem*, John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York, 1984;

[Viberg] Viberg, Mats, *Subspace Fitting Concepts in Sensor Array Processing*, Linkoping Studies in Science and Technology. Dissertations No. 27 Linkoping, Sweden 1989;

[Shapiro] Shapiro, Larry S, *Affine Analysis of Image Sequences*, Cambridge University Press, 1995;

[Hara] Hara, Yoshiko "Matsushita demos multilayer MPEG-4 compression", Electronic Engineering Times, Apr. 19, 1999.

The invention claimed is:

1. An apparatus comprising:

a transparent touchpad positioned over a visual display, the transparent touchpad configured to sense a contiguous

region of contact from at least a portion of a human hand on a surface of the transparent touchpad, the transparent touch pad comprising a sensor array having a plurality of sensors, each sensor having a unique spatial location and an associated unique address, wherein the transparent touch pad is configured to sense the contiguous region of contact by a generation of sensor measurement values associated with each of the plurality of sensors, wherein a plurality of sensor spatial locations are configured to provide associated sensor measurement values responsive to the contiguous region of contact;

a value of at least one control parameter for the contiguous region of contact responsive to a measured change in at least one of the associated sensor measurement values, the value obtained by performing a calculation on the associated sensor measurement values and interpreting the results as signifying a finger flick touch gesture, wherein the at least one control parameter is associated with the finger flick touch gesture; and

at least one derived control parameter assigned to a specific control signal;

wherein the finger flick touch gesture is recognized from sequential dynamics among postures derived from spatial pressure distribution profiles.

2. The apparatus of claim **1** wherein the transparent touch pad is configured to sense the contiguous region of contact by determining the pressure values and coordinates for selected pixels associated with the portion of the human hand on the surface of the transparent touchpad.

3. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein the transparent touch pad is configured to determine the sequential dynamics among postures based on a pattern for the contiguous region of contact.

4. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein the transparent touch pad is configured to sense the contiguous region of contact by a generation of the associated sensor measurement values based on identifying a point of contact for the sensed region of contact relative to the surface of the transparent touchpad.

5. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein the transparent touchpad is configured to sense the contiguous region of contact by a generation of the associated sensor measurement values based on identifying measurement values that exceed a threshold.

6. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein the transparent touch pad is further configured to track a trajectory of movement of the portion of the human hand contacting the surface of the transparent touchpad.

7. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein the visual display is further configured to provide dynamically assigned labels.

8. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein at least one control parameter is responsive to a geometric center of the contiguous region of measurement values.

9. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein at least one control parameter is responsive to a center of pressure of the contiguous region of measurement values.

10. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein at least one control parameter is responsive to an average pressure of the contiguous region of measurement values.

11. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein at least one control parameter is responsive to a total size of the contiguous region of measurement values.

12. The apparatus of claim **1**, wherein the transparent touch pad is further configured to sense at least a second contiguous region of contact from another portion of a human hand on a surface of the transparent touchpad, and the apparatus is configured to derive a value of at least another control parameter responsive the second contiguous region of contact.

13. An apparatus comprising:

a transparent touch sensor array comprising a plurality of transparent sensors positioned over a display associated with the apparatus to form a transparent touchpad, wherein the transparent touch sensor array is configured to sense contact with one or more fingers on a corresponding contiguous region on the transparent touch pad, wherein each transparent sensor of the plurality of transparent sensors has a corresponding spatial location, associated address, and is configured to provide associated sensor measurement values;

at least one control parameter associated with a finger flick touch gesture, the finger flick touch gesture recognized from sequential dynamics among postures derived from spatial pressure distribution profiles and associated with a measured change to at least one of the associated sensor measurement values; and

at least one control signal associated with the at least one control parameter; and

wherein the finger flick touch gesture corresponds to one or more interactions with displayed visual content of an application operating on the apparatus.

14. The apparatus of claim **13**, wherein the postures are associated with a single continuous touching of the transparent touch sensor array.

15. The apparatus of claim **13**, wherein the display is configured to dynamically provide at least one label at a corresponding spatial location of at least one of the plurality for transparent sensors in response to the control signals.

16. The apparatus of claim **13**, wherein the display is configured to control displayed visual content based on controlling at least one of a selection, a motion, and a sizing of the displayed visual content.

17. The apparatus of claim **13**, wherein the spatial pressure distribution profiles consist of one or more shapes of hand contact patterns.

18. The apparatus of claim **13**, further comprising at least one control parameter associated with another touch gesture, the another touch gesture recognized from sequential dynamics among postures derived from spatial pressure distribution profiles and associated with a measured change to at least one of the associated sensor measurement values.

* * * * *